



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/464,076	164,076 12/16/1999		BRIAN CRUICKSHANK	91436-209	7105	
33000	7590	03/26/2003				
DOCKET	CLERK		EXAMINER			
P.O. DRAV	VER 800889	9	HAN OF			
DALLAS, 1	ΓX 75380			HAN, QI		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2654	\triangleleft	
				DATE MAILED: 03/26/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Anntinando
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/464,076	CRUICKSHANK, BRIAN
	Examiner	Art Unit
T. 1141 NO DATE (4)	Qi Han	2654
Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to aversinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR toid abandonment of this applicate a timely filed amendment which (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely	ALLOWANCE. ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in
	PLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the control	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF f extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-12 and 14-18</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	_	
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	it(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	<u> </u>
10. Other:		



Continuation of 2. NOTE: the newly presented limitation (i.e. "each textual unit comprising a word") requires further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant's arguments are not persuasive, based on arguments submitted in the final.

TALIVALDIS IVARS SIMITS
PRIMARY EXAMINER